Distinguished Regional Officer Team Award

Regional Coordinators may nominate their entire regional officer team for the Distinguished Regional Officer Team Award. As many as three regional officer teams may be recognized each year.

DEADLINE:
Friday, January 10, 2020 at 5:00 pm CST

Evaluate your Regional Officer Team nominees on the following criteria from 10 – 1. (10 = Excellent and 1 = Poor)

- Knowledge of Phi Theta Kappa’s Mission Statement
- Knowledge of Phi Theta Kappa’s history, structure, and major programs (e.g., Honors in Action, Five Star Chapter Plan, PTK Edge, PTK Connect, Hallmark Awards)
- Knowledge and understanding of the Regional Officer Honor Code
- Knowledge and performance of primary duties of regional office
- Communication with the Regional Coordinator
- Communication with each other
- Appearance as a unified group before the region
- Encouragement and support of each other
- Expression of a spirit of teamwork with fellow Regional Officers and Regional Coordinator
- Involvement in developing and implementing regional goals as a team
- Attendance and participation as leaders (public speaking, accessibility, helpfulness, etc.) at regional meetings
- Understanding and ability to articulate challenges faced by chapters in the region
- Team outreach to chapters to inspire participation in Society programs and regional activities
- Activity level as members of their local chapters
- Embodiment of Society’s Hallmarks of Scholarship, Leadership, Service, and Fellowship through words, actions, and appearance

Word Count Limit: 400 words per question

The entry will be judged based on each individual question. Maximum score is 40 points.

1. Cite up to three examples of how the Regional Officers demonstrated an atmosphere of teamwork.

10 points
The Regional Officer Team operated as a cohesive group that balanced and complimented each other’s strengths and weaknesses. The Team collaborated extremely well together in planning and implementing regional goals. Members of the Team made communication among the team a high priority and did not work independently of each other.

8 points
The Regional Officer Team operated well together as a group and capitalized on each other’s strengths and weaknesses. The Team worked well together in planning and implementing regional goals. Members of the Team kept in close contact with each other.
The Regional Officer Team successfully worked together as a group, respecting each other’s contributions to the team. The Team worked together in planning and implementing regional goals. Members of the Team communicated with each other regularly.

The Regional Officer Team worked well together in some areas, but did not necessarily bond as a cohesive leadership team. Their contributions to the Team were not always coordinated. Their planning and implementation of regional goals was marginally successful. Members of the Team communicated when necessary.

The Regional Officer Team did not work well together. Their contributions to the Team were not coordinated, often conflicting. Planning and implementation of regional goals was not effective or did not exist. Members of the Team did not communicate with one another.

2. How did Regional Officers assist the Region in meeting its goals?

The Regional Officer Team was integrally involved in seeing that detailed and effective plans were in place for meeting the region’s goals. The Team consistently tracked the region’s progress towards meeting its goals and actively intervened as necessary to keep the region on track.

The Regional Officer Team was involved in seeing that effective plans were in place for meeting the region’s goals. The Regional Officer Team regularly tracked the region’s progress towards meeting its goals and intervened as necessary to keep the region on track.

The Regional Officer Team was involved in communicating the goals of the region to officers and members. The Team tracked the region’s progress towards meeting its goals and communicated with others when something seemed to be off track.

The Regional Officer Team communicated the goals of the region to officers and members when presented with an opportunity. The Team attempted to track the region’s progress towards meeting its goals, but did not provide any support to others when something seemed to be off track.

The Regional Officer Team did not attempt to communicate the goals of the region to officers and members. The Team did not track the region’s progress towards meeting its goals and did not provide any support to others.

3. Cite up to three specific examples in which the Regional Officer Team promoted the Society’s programs.

The Regional Officer Team was instrumental in motivating chapters and members in the region to
participate actively in Society programs. The Team communicated frequently with officers in all chapters in the region. The team members traveled extensively within the region, and collectively the Team provided active and consistent support to chapters.

8 points
The Regional Officer Team was active in motivating chapters and members in the region to participate in Society programs. The Team communicated regularly with officers in all chapters in the region. The team members traveled regularly within the region, and collectively the Team provided ongoing support to chapters.

6 points
The Regional Officer Team encouraged chapters and members in the region to participate in Society programs. The Team communicated occasionally with officers in most of the chapters in the region. Team members visited a few chapters within the region, and collectively the Team provided occasional support to chapters.

4 points
The Regional Officer Team supported participation in Society programs by chapters and members in the region. The Team communicated occasionally with officers in some of the chapters in the region. Team members only visited one or two chapters within the region, and the Team provided support to chapters when requested.

2 points
The Regional Officer Team supported others’ efforts to motivate chapters and members in the region to participate in Society programs, and communicated with officers in the region when asked to do so.

4. **What is the most significant impact the Regional Officer Team had on the Region?**

10 points
The Regional Officer Team was exceptionally involved in and contributed to the successful completion of regional goals and the significant growth of the region. The Team’s impact on the region would be considered highly impressive in scope.

8 points
The Regional Officer Team was strongly involved and contributed to the successful completion of regional goals and growth of the region. The Team’s impact on the region would be considered significant.

6 points
The Regional Officer Team had good involvement and contributed to the completion of regional goals. The team had a positive impact on the region.

4 points
The Regional Officer Team had some involvement in contributing to discussion of regional goals. The team’s impact on the region would be considered limited.

2 points
The Regional Officer Team’s involvement in contributing to discussions of regional goals was negligible. The Team’s impact on the region would be considered unremarkable.