Distinguished Regional Officer Award

Regional Coordinators may nominate up to two individual regional officers for this category. As many as 10 Distinguished Regional Officers may be recognized each year. A student may receive this award only once.

DEADLINE:
Friday, January 10, 2020 at 5:00 pm CST

Evaluate your Regional Officer nominee on the following criteria from 10 – 1. (10 = Excellent and 1 = Poor)

- Knowledge of Phi Theta Kappa’s Mission Statement
- Knowledge of Phi Theta Kappa’s history, structure, and major programs (e.g., Honors in Action, Five Star Chapter Plan, PTK Edge, PTK Connect, Hallmark Awards)
- Knowledge and understanding of the Regional Officer Honor Code
- Knowledge and performance of primary duties of regional office
- Communication with the Regional Coordinator
- Communication with other Regional Officers
- Expression of a spirit of teamwork with fellow Regional Officers and Regional Coordinator
- Involvement in developing and implementing regional goals
- Attendance and participation as a leader (public speaking, accessibility, helpfulness, etc.) at regional meetings
- Understanding and ability to articulate challenges faced by chapters in the region
- Outreach to chapters to inspire participation in Society programs and regional activities
- Activity level as a member of his/her local chapter
- Embodiment of Society’s Hallmarks of Scholarship, Leadership, Service, and Fellowship through words, actions, and appearance

Word Count Limit: 400 words per question with the exception of 200 words for Question 1. The entry will be judged based on each individual question. Maximum score is 30 points.

1. Briefly outline the primary responsibilities of the office. (NO POINT VALUE)

2. Cite up to three specific instances in which the nominee demonstrated outstanding leadership abilities and traits while fulfilling the responsibilities of his/her office.

10 points
The nominee attended and demonstrated outstanding leadership abilities at all regional meetings, activities, and regional Executive Committee meetings. The nominee communicated with the Regional Coordinator frequently and provided at least monthly updates on his/her activities. The nominee communicated with other regional officers on at least a monthly basis.
8 points
The nominee attended and demonstrated good leadership abilities at all or most of the regional meetings, activities, and regional Executive Committee meetings. The nominee communicated with the Regional Coordinator regularly and provided at least quarterly updates on his/her activities. The nominee communicated with other regional officers on a regular basis.

6 points
The nominee attended and assumed a leadership role at many regional meetings, activities, and regional Executive Committee meetings. The nominee communicated with the Regional Coordinator occasionally and provided occasional updates on his/her activities. The nominee communicated with other regional officers on an occasional basis.

4 points
The nominee attended most of the regional meetings, activities, and regional Executive Committee meetings but did not assume a leadership role. The nominee communicated with the Regional Coordinator irregularly and provided irregular updates on his/her activities. The nominee communicated with other regional officers on an irregular basis.

2 points
The nominee attended some of the regional meetings, activities, and regional Executive Committee meetings but did not assume a leadership role. The nominee communicated with the Regional Coordinator unreliably and provided infrequent updates on his/her activities. The nominee did not communicate with other regional officers.

3. **Cite up to three examples in which the Regional Officer promoted the Society’s programs.**

10 points
The nominee was instrumental in motivating chapters and members in the region to participate actively in Society programs, communicating extensively with officers in all chapters in the region or in his/her designated area and mentoring at least two inactive chapters. The nominee attended and assumed a leadership role in planning and organizing at least three regional activities.

8 points
The nominee was active in motivating chapters and members in the region to participate in Society programs, communicating regularly with officers in all chapters in the region or in his/her designated area and specifically encouraging at least two inactive chapters. The nominee attended and assumed a leadership role in planning and organizing at two regional activities.

6 points
The nominee encouraged chapters and members in the region to participate in Society programs, communicating occasionally with officers in most of the chapters in the region or in his/her designated area. The nominee attended and assumed a leadership role in planning and organizing at least one regional activity.

4 points
The nominee supported participation in Society programs by chapters and members in the region, communicating occasionally with officers in some of the chapters in the region or in his/her designated area. The nominee attended many of the regional activities.
2 points
The nominee supported others’ efforts to motivate chapters and members in the region to participate actively in Society programs, communicated with officers in other chapters when asked to do so. The nominee attended some of the regional activities.

4. **What is the most significant impact this Regional Officer had on the region?**

10 points
The nominee was exceptionally involved in and contributed to the successful completion of regional goals and the significant growth of the region. The nominee’s impact on the region would be considered highly impressive in scope.

8 points
The nominee was strongly involved and contributed to the successful completion of regional goals and growth of the region. The nominee’s impact on the region would be considered significant.

6 points
The nominee had good involvement and contributed to the completion of regional goals. The nominee had a positive impact on the region.

4 points
The nominee had some involvement in contributing to discussions of regional goals. The nominee’s impact on the region would be considered limited.

2 points
The nominee’s involvement in contributing to discussions of regional goals was negligible. The nominee’s impact on the region would be considered unremarkable.